

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 505 (1995) 17-21



The chemistry of 3-mercapto-1-propanol with Group 4 metallocene derivatives. The molecular structure of Cp^{*}₂ ZrCl(OCH₂CH₂CH₂SH)

Chi-Tain Chen, Han-Mou Gau *

Department of Chemistry, National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan, ROC 403

Received 22 January 1995; in revised form 22 March 1995

Abstract

Titanocene or permethylzirconocene dichloride reacts with 1 molar equivalent of 3-mercapto-1-propanol (HOCH₂CH₂CH₂SH) in the presence of NEt₃ to give the monomeric chelate complex Cp₂Ti(OCH₂CH₂CH₂S) (1) or the oxygen-bonded monomeric complex Cp₂ZrCl(OCH₂CH₂CH₂SH) (2), respectively. When Cp₂Zr(CH₃)₂ reacts with 1 molar equivalent of HOCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂SH, the oxygen-bonded monomeric complex Cp₂Zr(CH₃)(OCH₂CH₂CH₂SH) (3) is obtained first. The complex 3 loses the methane extremely slowly to give the monomeric chelate complex Cp₂Zr(OCH₂CH₂CH₂S) (4). However, the addition of HNEt₃Cl to a solution of 4 in C₆D₆ converts 4 to the oxygen-bonded complex 2 in 24 h. The complex 3 can also be converted to 2 by the addition of HNEt₃Cl with a slower rate of 1 week. The dialkoxy complex Cp₂Zr(OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂SH)₂ (5) is obtained from the reaction of Cp₂Zr(CH₃)₂ in neat HOCH₂CH₂CH₂SH. The complex 2 crystallizes in the monoclinic P2₁/c space group with cell parameters a = 9.128(2) Å, b = 16.276(2) Å, c = 16.141(3) Å, $\beta = 93.75(2)^{\circ}$, and z = 4 with R = 0.040 and $R_w = 0.049$. The molecular structure of 2 shows a short Zr-O distance at 1.930(3) Å and a large Zr-O-C(21) angle at 172.5(3)^o which are indications of strong Zr-O π bonding.

Keywords: Titanium; Zirconium; Metallocene; 3-mercapto-1-propanol

1. Introduction

The chemistry of difunctional ligands with metals is interesting owing to the abilities of the difunctional ligands to either bond to a single metal in the bidentate mode or to bridge two metals. The Group 4 transition metal complexes of the diol and dithiol ligands have been studied extensively by Stephan and coworkers [1]. For the metallocene systems, the complexes prepared have the twisted dimeric structure I or the macrocyclic structure II. For the heterodifunctional 2-mercaptoethanol which possess both the hard oxygen and the soft sulfur donors, the reaction gives the twisted dimeric structure III in which two metals are bridged through the hard oxygen donors [2]. For 3-mercapto-1-propanol $(HO(CH_2)_3SH)$, it possess differences from the propylene diol or dithiol, the ethylene diol or dithiol, and the 2-mercaptoethanol ligands. First, the propylene linkage of HO(CH₂)₃SH is similar to HOCH₂C(CH₃)₂CH₂OH

or to $HSCH_2CH_2CH_2SH$. However, unlike the latter cases containing both hard donors or both soft donors at each end, the $HO(CH_2)_3SH$ ligand contains both the hard oxygen donor and the soft sulfur donor. Second, unlike the ethylene diol, ethylene dithiol, or 2mercaptoethanol, the $HO(CH_2)_3SH$ ligand has one more CH_2 chain which forms a six-membered chelate ring instead of a five-membered chelate ring if it behaves as a bidentate ligand. It would be interesting to investigate



the behavior of this ligand and its chemistry with transition metals.

We report here the chemistry of Group 4 metallocene systems with HO(CH₂)₃SH. HO(CH₂)₃SH reacts with Group 4 metallocene or permethylmetallocene derivatives to give Cp₂Ti(OCH₂CH₂CH₂S) (1) and Cp₂*ZrX-(OCH₂CH₂CH₂SH) (X = Cl (2), CH₃ (3), or OCH₂-CH₂CH₂SH (5)). The complex Cp₂*Zr(OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂-S) (4) is obtained by the loss of methane from 3.

2. Experimental details

2.1. Reagents and general techniques

HO(CH₂)₃SH was prepared by the reduction of HO₂CCH₂CH₂SH with an excess of LiAlH₄. Cp₂* ZrCl₂ [3] and Cp₂*Zr(CH₃)₂ [4] were prepared according to the literature procedures. Cp₂TiCl₂ (Aldrich) was used without further purification. NEt₃ (Merck) was distilled and stored over molecular sieves. Solvents were dried by heating under reflux for at least 24 h over P₂O₅ (trichloromethane, dichloromethane) or sodium/benzophenone (benzene, n-pentane, hexane, diethyl ether) and were freshly distilled prior to use. Deuterated solvents (Aldrich) were dried over molecular sieves. All syntheses and manipulations were carried out under dry dinitrogen.

2.2. Synthesis of bis(cyclopentadienyl)(3-thio-1-propoxo)titanium(IV) (1)

Cp₂TiCl₂ (0.286 g, 1.15 mmol) was added to a solution of HS(CH₂)₃OH (0.106 g, 1.15 mmol) and NEt₃ (1.00 ml, 7.20 mmol) in 40 ml of benzene at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 12 h and the precipitate was filtered off. The filtrate was then dried in vacuo to give a red solid (0.262 g, 85.0% yield). EI-MS: m/z = 268. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.17 (s, 10H), 4.38 (m, 2H), 2.82 (m, 2H), 1.58 (m, 2H) ppm. ¹³C(¹H) NMR (CDCl₃): δ 113.8 (C₅), 80.1 (CH₂O), 34.4 (CH₂), 32.0 (CH₂S) ppm. Anal. Found: C, 58.46; H, 6.18%. C₁₃H₁₆OSTi Calc.: C, 58.21; H, 6.01%.

2.3. Synthesis of bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)chloro(3-mercapto-1-propoxo)zirconium(IV) (2)

To a solution of Cp₂^{*} ZrCl₂ (0.498 g, 1.15 mmol) and HO(CH₂)₃SH (0.106 g, 1.15 mmol) in 30 ml of benzene, NEt₃ (0.50 ml, 3.76 mmol) was added at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h and then was taken to dryness to give a pale yellow residue. The residue was extracted with 50 ml of n-pentane and the extracted solution was concentrated to ca. 25 ml. The resulting solution was cooled to -15° C to afford yellow crystals (0.263 g, 47.0% yield). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.21 (t, $J_{HH} = 6.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.53 (m, 2H), 1.95 (s, 30H), 1.78 (m, 2H), 1.34 (t, $J_{HH} = 8.0$ Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 4.13 (t, $J_{HH} = 6.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.44 (m, 2H), 1.85 (s, 30H), 1.73 (m, 2H), 1.20 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.9$ Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 121.2 (C₅), 68.8 (CH₂O), 38.1 (CH₂), 21.3 (CH₂S), 11.4 (CH₃) ppm. Anal. Found: C, 56.53; H, 7.75%. C₂₃H₃₇OSClZr Calc.: C, 56.58; H, 7.64%.

2.4. Synthesis of bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(3mercapto-1-propoxo)methylzirconium(IV) (3)

To a solution of HO(CH₂)₃SH (0.106 g, 1.15 mmol) in 30 ml of diethyl ether, Cp₂^{*}Zr(CH₃)₂ (0.314 g, 0.80 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give a pale yellow residue. The residue was dissolved in 15 ml of n-pentane and the solution was cooled to -15° C to afford a pale yellow solid (0.220 g, 48.0% yield). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.02 (t, $J_{HH} = 6.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.40 (m, 2H), 1.87 (s, 30H), 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.27 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), -0.49 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 4.01 (t, $J_{HH} = 6.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.34 (m, 2H), 1.81 (s, 30H), 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.18 (t, $J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), -0.14 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 117.3 (C₅), 67.2 (CH₂O), 38.5 (CH₂), 26.5 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₂S), 11.4 (CH₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆): δ 117.2 (C₅), 67.2 (CH₂O), 38.9 (CH₂), 27.2 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₂S), 11.2 (CH₃) ppm. Anal. Found: C, 61.40; H, 8.42%. C₂₄H₃₉OSClZr Calc.: C, 61.61; H, 8.62%.

2.5. The formation of bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)-(3-thio-1-propoxo)zirconium(IV) (4)

The complex 3 (4 mg) was dissolved in 0.5 ml of $C_6 D_6$ in a Teflon-plug sealed NMR tube. The solution was monitored frequently by the ¹H spectroscopy at the ambient temperature. The conversion from 3 to bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(3-thio-1-propoxo)zirconium-(IV) (4) was completed after 3 weeks. The solution contained traces of impurities. ¹H NMR ($C_6 D_6$): δ 4.20 (t, $J_{HH} = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.69 (m, 2H), 1.91 (s, 30H), 1.61 (m, 2H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR ($C_6 D_6$): δ 119.9 (C_5), 70.2 (CH₂O), 32.8 (CH₂), 28.6 (CH₂S), 11.5 (CH₃) ppm. EI–MS: m/z = 450.

2.6. Synthesis of bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)bis(3-mercapto-1-propoxo)zirconium(IV) (5)

To a reaction flask containing HO(CH₂)₃SH (0.820 g, 8.87 mmol), Cp₂ ^{*}Zr(CH₃)₂ (0.355 g, 0.91 mmol) was added at room temperature and methane evolved immediately. The solution was stirred for a further 3 h and the solution was taken to dryness to give a yellow oil (0.477 g, 96.8% yield). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.14 (t, $J_{\rm HH} = 6.8$ Hz, 4H), 2.57 (m, 4H), 1.93 (s, 30H), 1.83 (m, 4H), 1.38 (t, $J_{\rm HH} = 7.7$ Hz, 2H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H}

Table 1

NMR (CDCl₃): δ 119.3 (C₅), 60.8 (CH₂O), 39.7 (CH₂), 21.4 (CH₂S), 11.1 (CH₃) ppm. Anal. Found: C, 55.64; H, 7.93%. C₂₆H₄₄O₂S₂Zr Calc.: C, 57.41; H, 8.15%.

2.7. Physical measurements

¹H NMR spectra were obtained with a Varian Gemini-200 (200 MHz) or a Varian VXR-300 (300 MHz) spectrometer and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with the Varian VXR-300 (75.43 MHz) spectrometer. The ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts were measured relative to tetramethylsilane as the internal reference. Elemental analyses of complexes were performed using a Heraeus CHN-O-RAPID instrument. Mass spectra were obtained using a Joel JMS-SX/SX 102A instrument.

2.8. Crystal structure determinations

A colorless crystal of **2** of size $0.20 \times 0.40 \times 0.80$ mm was used for X-ray diffraction studies. The diffraction intensities were collected on a Siemens P4 diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Absorption correction was not performed on the sample. All refinements and calculations were carried out with the Siemens SHELXTL PLUS software package on a MicroVax 3100-80 computer. The positions of heavy atoms for the structure were determined by direct methods and the remaining nonhydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier map calculations. The refinements were carried out using full-matrix least-squares techniques. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined as individual anisotropic atoms. The hydrogen atoms, (except for that attached to the sulfur atom which is not positively defined from the Fourier difference map calculations) were considered as riding on the carbon atoms with a C-H bond length of 0.96 Å and the hydrogen atom temperature factors were fixed at 0.08. The hydrogen atoms were included for refinements in the final cycles. The crystallographic data for the complex 2 are summarized in Table 1.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Syntheses and characterizations

The reactions of 3-mercapto-1-propanol $(HO(CH_2)_3)$ -SH) with Group 4 metallocene or permethylmetallocene derivatives are outlined in Scheme 1. Cp₂TiCl₂ reacts with 1 molar equivalent of $HO(CH_2)_3SH$ in the presence of NEt₃ to give a red product 1. The mass spectroscopy suggests a monomeric chelate structure for 1. For the permethylzirconocene derivatives, $Cp_2^* ZrCl_2$ reacts with 1 molar equivalent of $HO(CH_2)_3SH$ in the presence of NEt₃ to afford a yellow complex 2 with an oxygen-bonded monomeric structure. In the reaction of

Cry	stallographic	data	for	Cn.*	ZrCl	OCH.	CH.	CH	SH)	C	Z)
U.	stanographic	uaia	101	$\nabla \nu_{2}$		JULII	U11-		, 311/	∕ ∖ ≉	-1

)	
Formula	C ₂₃ H ₃₇ OSClZr
Formula weight	488.3
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$
a (Å)	9.128(2)
b (Å)	16.276(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	16.141(3)
β (°)	93.75(2)
V (Å ³)	2392.8(7)
z	4
$D_{\rm calc} ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	1.355
λ (Mo K α) (Å)	0.71073
Absorption coefficient (mm^{-1})	0.699
Range (°)	3.0-50
Scan type	$\theta - 2\theta$
No. of reflections collected	4708
No. of independent reflections	$4529 (R_{int} = 1.18\%)$
No. of observed reflections	2942 ($I > 2\sigma I$)
No. of refined parameters	244
R ^a for significant reflections	0.040
$R_{\rm w}^{\rm b}$ for significant reflections	0.049
Goodness of fit ^c	1.07

 $\overline{R} = \left[\frac{\Sigma(F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c})}{\Sigma F_{\rm o}}\right].$

$$^{0}R_{w} = [\Sigma w(F_{0} - F_{c})^{2} / \Sigma w F_{0}^{2}]^{1/2}$$

 $r_{w} = (\Sigma w(F_{0} - F_{c}) / \Sigma w(F_{0} - F_{c})^{2} / (N_{\text{rflns}} - N_{\text{params}}))^{1/2}$.

 $Cp_2^* Zr(CH_3)_2$ with 1 molar equivalent of HO(CH₂)₃SH, the pale-yellow oxygen-bonded monomeric complex 3 is obtained. The complex 3 is found to lose the methane slowly to form the complex 4 either in the solid state or in solution. Therefore, the complex 3 in C_6D_6 solution in a Teflon-plug sealed NMR tube was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Loss of methane to form the complex 4 is a rather slow process, taking 3 weeks to complete. Mass spectroscopy indicates a monomeric chelate structure for 4. With the addition of HNEt₃Cl, the complex 4 converts to the oxygen-bonded monomeric 2 at a much faster rate (24 h). The complex 2 can also be obtained by the addition of $HNEt_3Cl$ to 3 but reaction occurs at a slower rate of 1 week. When $Cp_2^* Zr(CH_3)_2$ is reacted with neat HO(CH₂)₃SH, a yellow oil of the dialkoxy complex 5 is obtained.

The above results indicate that the six-membered chelate ring structure is not favorable for the permethylzirconocene systems. When HO(CH₂)₃SH reacts with $Cp_2^* ZrCl_2$ or $Cp_2^* Zr(CH_3)_2$ the reaction stops at the oxygen-bonded product 2 or 3, respectively. The monomeric chelate complex 4, though, can be formed by the evolution of methane from 3, but the process is extremely slow. Conversely, 4 converts to 2 at a relatively fast rate. Besides, the chemistry of Group 4 metallocene with $HO(CH_2)_3SH$ is different from that of the dithiol or diol analogues for which the macrocyclic structure I is observed. Considering the steric and electronic effects, macrocyclic bonding between Group 4 metallocene and $-O(CH_2)_3S$ -, in which the M-O bond is stronger, is considered to be more favorable than the macrocyclic bonding in $[Cp_2M(SCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2S)_2MCp_2]$ [1]. However, this does not explain why the complexes 1 and 4 adopt the chelate structure instead of the macrocyclic one. Considering the Group 5 metallocene systems with 1,3-propandithiol, both the monomeric chelate complex $Cp_2V(SCH_2CH_2CH_2S)$ and the macrocyclic complex $Cp_2V(SCH_2CH_2CH_2S)_2VCp_2$ exist, but they are prepared by different synthetic approaches [5]. The energy difference between these two structures seems quite small and the structure may vary just owing to a subtle differences in the ligands, the metals, or even the methods of preparation.

Complexes 1–5 have been characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H chemical shift of the methylene protons attached to the oxygen donor shifts from δ 3.78 ppm for the free ligand to > 4.0 ppm, indicating bonding of the alkoxy group to the metal. For complex 1, the proton resonance of the methylene attached to the sulfur donor also shifts downfield to 2.82 ppm from the free ligand value of 2.70 ppm. The downfield shift is not significant compared with the shift of the methylene protons attached to the oxygen donor. For complex 4 in C₆D₆, the ¹H signal of the methylene attached to the sulfur donor appears at 2.69



Scheme 1.

Table 2

Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement coefficients $(Å^2)$ of the complex 2

Atom	x	у	z	U _{eq}
Zr	0.2431(1)	0.1090(1)	0.2084(1)	0.034(1)
Cl	-0.0031(2)	0.0635(1)	0.1543(1)	0.064(1)
0	0.2491(4)	0.2070(2)	0.1415(2)	0.054(1)
S	-0.1822(2)	0.3088(1)	0.0212(1)	0.090(1)
C(1)	0.3568(6)	0.0423(3)	0.0848(3)	0.049(2)
C(2)	0.2948(6)	-0.0241(3)	0.1256(3)	0.048(2)
C(3)	0.3686(6)	-0.0335(3)	0.2042(3)	0.047(2)
C(4)	0.4803(3)	0.0256(3)	0.2124(3)	0.049(2)
C(5)	0.4728(6)	0.0736(3)	0.1381(3)	0.049(2)
C(6)	0.3152(8)	0.0699(4)	-0.0020(3)	0.073(2)
C(7)	0.1794(7)	-0.0823(3)	0.0873(4)	0.069(2)
C(8)	0.3419(7)	-0.1036(3)	0.2632(4)	0.069(2)
C(9)	0.6116(6)	0.0252(4)	0.2745(4)	0.070(2)
C(10)	0.5799(6)	0.1401(4)	0.1179(4)	0.071(2)
C(11)	0.0838(5)	0.1771(3)	0.3146(3)	0.043(2)
C(12)	0.1236(5)	0.0979(3)	0.3478(3)	0.042(2)
C(13)	0.2736(6)	0.0980(3)	0.3692(3)	0.047(2)
C(14)	0.3310(5)	0.1765(3)	0.3468(3)	0.047(2)
C(15)	0.2125(6)	0.2245(3)	0.3162(3)	0.046(2)
C(16)	-0.0686(6)	0.2064(3)	0.2910(3)	0.060(2)
C(17)	0.0163(7)	0.0315(3)	0.3663(3)	0.064(2)
C(18)	0.3537(7)	0.0367(4)	0.4253(3)	0.070(2)
C(19)	0.4829(7)	0.2081(4)	0.3677(4)	0.079(3)
C(20)	0.2203(7)	0.3148(3)	0.2946(3)	0.071(2)
C(21)	0.2598(7)	0.2715(4)	0.0848(3)	0.066(2)
C(22)	0.1117(9)	0.3133(4)	0.0669(4)	0.097(3)
C(23)	0.0005(10)	0.2611(4)	0.0312(5)	0.104(3)

ppm, a downfield shift from 2.23 ppm for the free ligand in C_6D_6 . For complexes **2**, **3** and **5** with oxygen-bonded monomeric structures, the proton resonances of the methylene attached to the mercapto group shift upfield to a range between 2.53 and 2.40 ppm. For all complexes, the proton resonances of the middle methylenes appear upfield relative to those of the methylene attached to the sulfur donor or the mercapto group. However, the 2D-hetcor spectra of **1** and **2** reveal, in contrast, that the ¹³C chemical shifts of the middle methylene attached to the sulfur donor or the mercapto group. The ¹³C resonances for complexes **3**–**5** are assigned accordingly.

3.2. The molecular structure of complex 2

The final coordinates and the equivalent isotropic displacement coefficients of complex **2** are listed in Table 2 and the molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1. The selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 3. The Zr–O distance at 1.930(3) Å is rather short compared with the usual Zr–O distances (1.94-2.00 Å) for the zirconocene alkoxides such as Cp₂Zr[OCH₂-CMe₂CH₂O]₂ZrCp₂ (1.945(6) Å) [1g], Cp₂Zr[OC(Me) = C(Ph)₂]₂ (1.989(7) and 2.004(6) Å) [6], Cp₂Zr[OCH₂-CH₂SiMe₂CH₂) (1.941(2) Å) [7], and Cp₂Zr[OC-



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of **2**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

 $(Ph)_2CH_2CH=CHCH_2$ (1.946(4) Å) [8]. However, this distance is comparable with the Zr-O distance of 1.927(6) Å in $Cp_2Zr(OC[=W(CO)_5]CH_2CH=CHCH_2$ - $C(Me)(CMe_1)O)$ [9], in which the complex contains a rather weak Zr-O(carbene) bond with a bond distance of 2.099(5) Å. In view of the short Zr-O distance, complex 2 is considered to have considerable Zr-O π bonding [10]. For the early transition metal alkoxides, M–O π bonding accompanies the enlarging of the M-O-C angle to around 150° [1g, 6-8]. In complex 2, the Zr-O-C(21) angle at 172.5(3)° is even larger than the Zr-O-C angle of $166.0(5)^{\circ}$ in Cp₂Zr(OC[=W- $(CO)_{3}CH_{2}CH=CHCH_{2}C(Me)(CMe_{3})O)$. The Zr-Cl distance of 2.471(2) Å is somewhat long compared with those in the zirconocene complexes of $Cp_2^*ZrCl(\mu$ -OC)[Ta(CO)(dmpe)₂] (2.466(1) Å) [11], R,S-Me₂Si(3-¹Bu-5-MeC₅H₂)₂ZrCl₂ (2.420(1) Å) [12], R,S-en $(\text{thind})_2 \text{ZrCl}_2$ (2.43(1) and 2.45(1) Å) [13]. The Zr-Cp*(centroid) distances at 2.264 and 2.283 Ű are also somewhat long compared with those in many of the permethylzirconocene complexes, such as $Cp_2^* ZrCl(\mu$ -OC)[Ta(CO)(dmpe)₂] (2.254 Å) [11], [Cp₂ * ZrMe(THF)]- $[BPh_{4}]$ (2.228(4) and 2.238(4) Å) [14], $[Cp_{2}^{*}Zr(CH_{2})]$

Table 3								
Selected	bond	lengths ((Å) and	i bond	angles	(°) fo	r compl	ex 2

Zr-0	1.930(3)	Zr-C1	2.471(2)
O-C(21)	1.399(7)	C(21)-C(22)	1.525(10)
C(22)–C(23)	1.417(11)	C(23)-S	1.837(9)
Zr-C(1)	2.551(5)	Zr-C(11)	2.570(5)
Zr-C(2)	2.605(5)	Zr-C(12)	2.571(5)
Zr-C(3)	2.590(5)	Zr-C(13)	2.599(5)
ZrC(4)	2.552(5)	Zr-C(14)	2.570(5)
ZrC(5)	2.515(5)	Zr-C(15)	2.589(5)
ZrCp * 1 ª	2.264	Zr–Cp * 2 ª	2.283
Cp*1-Zr-Cp*2	135.6		
C1–Zr–O	96.2(1)	Zr-O-C21	172.5(3)

^a Cp * 1 = C(1)–C(5), Cp * 2 = C(11)–C(15).

SiMe₃)(THF)][BPh₄] (2.255(7) and 2.257(7) Å) [15], and [Cp₂*Zr(OCPh=CPh)] (2.242(10) and 2.255(10) Å [16]. The Cp^{*}-Zr-Cp^{*} angle at 135.6° is toward the small end of the range 135.2°-139.6° for the permethylzirconocene complexes [11,14–16]. The somewhat long Zr-Cp^{*} and Zr-Cl distances and the small Cp^{*}-Zr-Cp^{*} angle may also be due to the effect of strong Zr-O π bonding.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financial support (NSC 84-2113-M-005-009).

References

- (a) T.T. Nadasdi and D.W. Stephan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 33 (1994) 1532;
 (b) T.T. Nadasdi and D.W. Stephan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 32 (1993) 5933;
 (c) T.T. Nadasdi, Y. Huang and D.W. Stephan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 32 (1993), 347;
 (d) T.T. Nadasdi and D.W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 11 (1992) 116;
 (e) D.W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 10 (1991) 2037;
 (f) D.W. Stephan, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1991) 129;
 (g) D.W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 9 (1990) 2718.
- [2] H.-M. Gau, C.-A. Chen, S.-J. Chang, W.-E. Shih, T.-K. Yang, T.-T. Jong and M.Y. Chien, *Organometallics*, 12 (1993) 1314.
- [3] J.M. Manriquez, D.R. McAlister, E. Rosenberg, A.M. Shiller, K.L. Williamson, S.I. Chan and J.E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 3078.
- [4] J.M. Manriquez, D.R. McAlister, R.D. Sanner and J.E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 2716.
- [5] D.W. Stephan, Inorg. Chem., 31 (1992) 4218.
- [6] S. Gambarotta, S. Strologo, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa and C. Guastin, *Inorg. Chem*, 24 (1985) 654.
- [7] W.R. Tikkanen and J.L. Petersen, Organometallics, 3 (1984) 1651.
- [8] G. Erker, K. Engel, J.L. Atwood and W.E. Hunter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl, 22 (1983) 494.
- [9] G. Erker, F. Sosna, J.L. Petersen, R. Benn and H. Grondey, Organometallics, 9 (1990) 2462.
- [10] (a) J.C. Huffman, K.G. Moloy and J.A. Marsella, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 3009; (b) C.P. Casey, R.F. Jordan and A.L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105 (1983) 665; (c) D.L. Reger, M.E. Tarquini, and L. Lebioda, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1753; (d) T.V. Lubben, P.T. Wolczanski and G.D. Van Duyne, Organometallics, 3 (1984) 977; (e) W.E. Hunter, D.C. Hrncir, R.V. Bynum, R.A. Pentilla and J.L. Atwood, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 750.
- [11] R.N. Vrtis, S.G. Bott and S.J. Lippard, Organometallics, 11 (1992) 270.
- [12] H. Wiesenfeldt, A. Reinmuth, E. Barsties, K. Evertz and H.-H. Brintzinger, J. Organomet. Chem., 369 (1989) 359.
- [13] F. Wild, M. Wasiucionek, G. Huttner and H.H. Brintzinger, J. Organomet. Chem., 288 (1985) 63.
- [14] J.J.W. Eshuis, Y.Y. Tan, A. Meetsma, J.H. Teuben, J. Renkema and G.G. Evens, Organometallics, 11 (1992) 362.
- [15] D.M. Amorose, R.A. Lee and J.L. Petersen, *Organometallics*, 10 (1991) 2191.
- [16] G.A. Vaughan, G.L. Hillhouse and A.L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112 (1990) 7994.